

# New Plutonium "Pit" Plans for Nuclear Warheads Questioned by House Armed Services Chariman, Doubts NNSA Competency

*Chairman of the powerful House Armed Services Committee, Rep. Adam Smith, casts doubt on plans for expanding plutonium pit production for nuclear weapons at SRS*

COLUMBIA, SC, US, December 14, 2020 /EINPresswire.com/ -- Chairman of House Armed Services Committee Reveals Great Skepticism in NNSA's Ability to Covert Terminated Plutonium Fuel (MOX) Facility at DOE's Savannah River Site into Plutonium Bomb Plant (PBP), Refers to \$6 Billion Project as Potential "Rat Hole"

Representative Adam Smith Asserts that Failed Plutonium Fuel (MOX) Project at SRS is "Pretty Close to White Collar Crime"

The powerful chairman of the House Armed Services Committee, Representation Adam Smith, has raised great doubt about the U.S. Department of Energy's ability to pull off the project at the Savannah River Site (SRS) in South Carolina to produce plutonium "pits," or cores, for nuclear warheads.

In an on-line [presentation on December 11 with the Center for Strategic and International](#) Studies,



Abdoned plutonium fuel (MOX) buiding at Savannah River Site, proposed to be cionverted into Plutonium Bomb Plant (PBP) at a cost of \$5 billion by 2030. @High Flyer, courtesy to SRS Watch



Savannah River Site Watch

SRS Watch in Columbia, SC is a non-profit organization working on sound policies and projects by the U.S. Department of Energy at the Savannah River Site. We oppose the proposed SRS Plutonium Bomb Plant.

Rep. Smith (D-WA) expressed deep concern in the ability of the DOE's National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) to pull off the project to convert the partially finished plutonium fuel (MOX) plant, halted in 2017, into the proposed Plutonium Bomb Plant (PBP) at SRS. The event featured Rep. Smith talking about nuclear weapons matters coming before Congress in 2021. The [transcript of the event](#) was released late in the afternoon of December 11.

The skepticism of Rep. Smith about pit production at SRS was based in part on his continued concern about NNSA's role in the failed MOX project at SRS: "Because the thing that really sticks in my craw on this basic competency issue is the Savannah River Site and the MOX facility. OK, that is pretty close to white collar crime, all right?" The public interest group Savannah River Site Watch believes Congress should follow through and conduct investigations into the MOX debacle.

“

The striking assessment of Representative Smith dramatically raises the pressure on the ill-conceived SRS Plutonium Bomb Plant and will set the tone for discussions on pits in Congress in 2021.”


*Tom Clements, director, SRS Watch*

Smith's comments on pit production at SRS were harsh and, noting the mismanaged construction of the MOX building, said "So I am highly skeptical that they're going to be able to turn that building into an effective pit production facility – highly skeptical." He reiterated skepticism in NNSA's ability and said "I am highly skeptical of the level of competence within the NNSA."

Concerning the potential monetary waste on the pit project at SRS, Rep. Smith recognized parochial financial interests near SRS pushing for the pit project at the site

and said "But if they're going to have that say, they'd better not use that say to take \$6 billion and dump it down a rat hole in South Carolina. That's what I would argue."

An emailed question by Tom Clements, director of Savannah River Site Watch, was presented by the moderator to Rep. Smith during the audience Q&A session, triggering lengthy comments about pits. "The striking assessment of Representative Smith dramatically raises the pressure on the ill-conceived SRS plutonium pit plant and will set the tone for discussions on the controversial pit issue in the House Armed Services Committee in 2021," said Clements.



Patriots protest outside DOE's Rocky Flats plutonium pit factory near Boulder, Colorado in 1992, with the call that the contaminated Rocky Flats facility not be dumped on SRS in South Carolina.

In raising doubt about the ability of Los Alamos to make 30 pits per year and the negative implication of that on the goal of 80 pits per year, Rep. Smith said "And we got – then it turns out that we're having a devil of a time making 30 pits a year. We're not sure we're going to hit that goal. So what's our solution? We're going to do 80 pits a year. I'm like, are you – (inaudible) – kidding me, OK?"

Los Alamos, which has not been able to produce its mandated 20 pits per year, is slated to make 30 or more pits per year by 2026 and SRS, which has zero pit experience and little recent experience handling plutonium, is being presented with the daunting challenge to produce 50 or more pits per year by 2030.

The House Armed Services Committee is the authorizing body for Department of Defense and Department of Energy programs, which are funded by the Appropriations Committees. Though budget requests are usually presented to Congress in early February, it is expected that the Fiscal Year 2022 budget request will be late next year due to a change in administrations.

It appears that for Fiscal Year 2021 that the Plutonium Bomb Plant at SRS has garnered \$241 million. Pit funding will be closely scrutinized in next year's budget process, as will the 80 pits-per-year goal.

Smith also voiced support for building "less nuclear weapons," for a new Nuclear Posture Review and for a New Defense Strategy. He also stated support for a more "cost-effective approach" concerning nuclear weapons, for "adequate deterrence," and questioned the need for the Ground Based Strategic Deterrent (GBSD or W87-1 warhead), for which a new plutonium pit might be made.

SRS Watch recently joined with Nuclear Watch New Mexico and Tri-Valley CAREs, all members of the Alliance for Nuclear Accountability (ANA), in protesting the NNSA's formal decision to pursue the Plutonium Bomb Plant at SRS. The [groups opposed the Record of Decision issued on the bomb plant on November 5](#) and reiterated that failure to prepare legally mandated environmental documents on pit production leaves NNSA open to the possibility of a lawsuit under the National Environmental Policy Act.

Notes:

1. A Conversation with Rep. Adam Smith on Nuclear Modernization and Arms Control in 2021, Center for Strategic and International Studies, December 11, 2020, webcast expected to be posted soon:

<https://www.csis.org/events/online-event-conversation-rep-adam-smith-nuclear-modernization-and-arms-control-2021>

2. Transcript: <https://csis-website-prod.s3.amazonaws.com/s3fs->

[public/event/201211\\_Hamre\\_Smith\\_Modernization.pdf](public/event/201211_Hamre_Smith_Modernization.pdf)

3. Photos of MOX plant & SRS, November 20, 2020, @High Flyer:

[https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1kruhBEDfGd7m8H9ZGAW\\_ZvEkYxdGDO8G](https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1kruhBEDfGd7m8H9ZGAW_ZvEkYxdGDO8G)

4. For more information on plutonium pits, search on the SRS Watch website:

<https://srswatch.org/>

Thomas W Clements

SRS Watch

+1 803-834-3084

[email us here](#)

Visit us on social media:

[Facebook](#)

[Twitter](#)

---

This press release can be viewed online at: <https://www.einpresswire.com/article/532745444>

EIN Presswire's priority is source transparency. We do not allow opaque clients, and our editors try to be careful about weeding out false and misleading content. As a user, if you see something we have missed, please do bring it to our attention. Your help is welcome. EIN Presswire, Everyone's Internet News Presswire™, tries to define some of the boundaries that are reasonable in today's world. Please see our Editorial Guidelines for more information.

© 1995-2020 IPD Group, Inc. All Right Reserved.