

AAAED URGES THE U.S. SUPREME COURT TO AFFIRM THAT DIVERSITY IN HIGHER EDUCATION ADMISSIONS IS CONSTITUTIONAL

Student Body Diversity in Higher Education Is Essential to Promoting the Nation's Future Workforces and Developing the Next Generation of Leaders

WASHINGTON, DC, UNITED STATES, November 1, 2022 /EINPresswire.com/ -- The American Association for Access, Equity, and Diversity (AAAED), a national not-for-profit association of equal opportunity, diversity, and affirmative action professionals, strongly urges the U.S. Supreme Court to affirm the lower court's decision in the case of Students for Fair Admissions Inc. v. President & Fellows of Harvard College and Students for



Fair Admissions Inc v. the University of North Carolina. The Association strongly urges the Court to respect forty-four years of precedent and maintain race-conscious admissions in higher education as consistent with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the 14th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

Founded in 1974 as the American Association for Affirmative Action, AAAED is the longest-serving organization of Equal Opportunity Professionals. AAAED provides professional training to members, enabling them to be more successful and productive in their careers as equal opportunity, compliance, and diversity practitioners. AAAED also promotes the understanding and advocacy of affirmative action and other equal opportunity laws to enhance the tenets of access, inclusion, and equality in employment, economic and educational opportunities. For 48 years, the Association has stood for equal opportunity in education, employment, and contracting. "We commend colleges and universities who have led the effort in preparing leaders of color in all walks of life," said Shirley J. Wilcher, AAAED Executive Director. "With the growing focus on Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI), now is not the time to reverse course and

further constrain the universities' efforts to recruit underrepresented students of color who will enter the workplaces of the future, she added."

In the <u>amicus brief</u> filed in support of Harvard and the University of North Carolina by AAAED and the Fund for Leadership, Equity, Access and Diversity (LEAD Fund), et al., the authors asserted that there is no basis for ignoring precedent and overturning Grutter v. Bollinger, 539 U.S. 306 (2003), the 2003 case that reaffirmed



that diversity in higher education was a compelling interest under the Constitution. Both institutions adhered to the ruling of Grutter by using a holistic admissions process, considering each student individually. Moreover, in both cases, the use of race among many factors was

"

Have we reached the time when we have made so much progress that we should cease student body diversity efforts in selective colleges? The answer is clearly "No.""

Shirley J. Wilcher, AAAED Executive Director limited. Lastly, the lower courts determined that there was no evidence of discrimination against Asian American students.

At the October 31st oral argument, some justices were exceedingly focused on the "dangerousness" of racial classifications. There is nothing dangerous about students including their own racial identity and experiences in their applications. Race is only one of many factors used in deciding whom to admit. Other factors include grades and test scores, socioeconomic status, geography, athletics, legacies, extra-curricular activities, and letters of

recommendation. Moreover, to prevent admissions staff from considering a student's identity by discouraging the student from referring to their race, history, and experiences as persons of color, while permitting all other factors, is untenable and may also raise Equal Protection concerns.

The Court also spent time discussing the limits of diversity in admissions, citing Justice Sandra Day O'Connor's suggestion in Grutter that race-conscious admissions should end in 25 years. "Have we reached the time when we have made so much progress that we should cease student body diversity efforts in selective colleges? The answer is clearly "No," added Wilcher.

As AAAED wrote in its brief:

The importance of diversity to education outcomes has never been greater. Our daily newsfeeds

are filled with disturbing accounts of individuals committing crimes where the evidence reveals racially motivated intentions. Our colleges and universities, which are charged with producing future leaders who can lead a restoration of racial harmony and tolerance, still need the tools sanctioned in Grutter to achieve the educational benefits of student diversity. A reversal of Grutter and its progeny would strike a devastating blow to these efforts.

ADVOCATE
ADVOCATE
AND
ACTIVATE
FOR YOU

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR ACCESS, EQUITY AND DIVERSITY WWW.aaaed.org

We Advocate.Educate.Activate

The experiences in California and other states that ended race-conscious affirmative action are ample proof that

when such legislation is passed, the number of underrepresented African Americans and Hispanics plummets. While so-called race-neutral alternatives have been instituted, including percent plans and socio-economic status as factors to replace race, no approach has been as successful.

As the United States becomes increasingly diverse, this is not the time to suggest that selective institutions of higher education have no room for the individuals who compose the largest component of the demographic shift. We urge the Court to remember Justice Harry Blackmun's admonition in Regents of the University of California v. Bakke, 438 U.S. 265 (1978): "In order to get beyond racism, we must first take account of race. There is no other way."

Media Contact: Shirley J. Wilcher 240-893-9475 executive@aaaed.org

American Association for Access, Equity, and Diversity 1701 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 200 * Washington, D.C. 20006 202-349-9855 * 866-562-2233 * Fax: 202-355-1399 *

www.aaaed.org

Advocate.Educate.Activate

Shirley Wilcher AAAED American Association for Access, Equity and Diversity +1 240-893-9475 email us here Visit us on social media: Facebook Twitter LinkedIn

Other

This press release can be viewed online at: https://www.einpresswire.com/article/598983193

EIN Presswire's priority is source transparency. We do not allow opaque clients, and our editors try to be careful about weeding out false and misleading content. As a user, if you see something we have missed, please do bring it to our attention. Your help is welcome. EIN Presswire, Everyone's Internet News Presswire™, tries to define some of the boundaries that are reasonable in today's world. Please see our Editorial Guidelines for more information.

© 1995-2022 Newsmatics Inc. All Right Reserved.