

Principles that uniquely determine simple risk-sharing rules

GA, UNITED STATES, March 12, 2026

/EINPresswire.com/ -- Researchers develop an axiomatic framework to clarify which [risk](#)-sharing rules follow from commonly desired principles in risk pools, such as anonymity of participant and incident information, non-punitive processes, and fairness.

They characterize simple rules—including uniform, mean-proportional, and covariance-based linear rules—by formal properties like reshuffling, source-anonymous contributions, and aggregate contributions. The framework also defines broad rule classes and introduces scenario-based rules for settings where probabilistic modeling is impractical.

Table 1
Properties of certain risk-sharing rules.

Risk-sharing (RS) rules	Reshuffling	Source-anonymous contributions	Aggregate contributions	Strongly aggregate contributions
Order statistics RS	–	✓	–	–
Conditional mean RS	✓	–	✓	–
Mean-proportional RS	✓	–	✓	–
Scenario-based proportional RS	✓	–	✓	–
Scenario-based linear RS	–	–	✓	–
All-in-one RS	–	✓	✓	✓
Uniform RS	✓	✓	✓	✓

Properties of certain risk-sharing rules.

Risk-sharing arrangements—where a group agrees to split losses from uncertain events—are increasingly discussed in decentralized and community-based settings. However, groups often start by agreeing on principles; for example, protecting participants' information, avoiding punitive allocation processes, and aiming for equitable sharing, rather than on a specific mathematical rule. This raises an important question: which risk-sharing rule is implied by a chosen set of principles—and what principles are implicitly endorsed by choosing a given rule?

In a paper published in *Risk Sciences*, Jan Dhaene, Rodrigue Kazzi, and Emiliano A. Valdez present an axiomatic approach to this question. They formalized properties that a rule may satisfy, such as reshuffling (swapping participants' losses swaps their contributions in the same way), source-anonymous contributions (contributions do not depend on which participant experienced which loss), and aggregate contributions (contributions depend only on the total loss, not on the individual breakdown).

Using these concepts, the team showed how familiar rules can be understood as the unique outcomes of particular combinations of axioms. For instance, they established that equal sharing (the uniform rule) is singled out by combining reshuffling with source-anonymous contributions.

As the authors write: “To illustrate, we demonstrate that the uniform RS rule, a simple mechanism in which risks are shared equally, is the only RS rule that satisfies both the

reshuffling and source-anonymous contributions properties.” They then extend the same logic to characterize broader families of rules, including proportional and linear forms that incorporate more information about participants' risks.

The framework also accommodated scenario-based approaches that rely on agreed-upon “typical” (and, in some cases, extreme) scenarios, offering a practical option when probability-based modeling is not feasible.

DOI

[10.1016/j.risk.2025.100027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.risk.2025.100027)

Original Source URL

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.risk.2025.100027>

Funding information

The authors acknowledge funding from FWO and F.R.S.-FNRS under the Excellence of Science (EOS) programme (project ASTeRISK).

Lucy Wang

BioDesign Research

[email us here](#)

This press release can be viewed online at: <https://www.einpresswire.com/article/898946405>

EIN Presswire's priority is source transparency. We do not allow opaque clients, and our editors try to be careful about weeding out false and misleading content. As a user, if you see something we have missed, please do bring it to our attention. Your help is welcome. EIN Presswire, Everyone's Internet News Presswire™, tries to define some of the boundaries that are reasonable in today's world. Please see our Editorial Guidelines for more information.

© 1995-2026 Newsmatics Inc. All Right Reserved.