

Evidence of the end of meetings and workshops.

United We Transform today opened a free atlas that score 23,624 published meeting agendas on whether they were built to produce a result.

MINNEAPOLIS, MN, UNITED STATES, August 17, 2026 /EINPresswire.com/ -- Walk into the back of any gathering of over 25 people this week. One person elevated and amplified. Everyone else in rows, facing forward, listening. Coffee at 10:30. Bathroom break at 10:45.

• LIVE ATLAS • 23,624 AGENDAS JUDGED

The world changed. Gatherings over 25 people didn't.

Most gatherings end in applause and change nothing. It isn't the people, it's the design: the room gets filled with a schedule, not built to produce. See what separates the gatherings that actually move something, then design yours so people leave connected, decided, and committed.

Change the way you gather to change your life.

How does every organizer know the exact minute several hundred adults will need the bathroom?

“

Every tool around the meeting got rebuilt and the meeting itself never did. It survived because nobody wrote down what the room was for. You cannot improve a specification that does not exist.”

Brandon Klein

They don't. That is the tell. The schedule was never built around the people in the room. It was built around getting through the material, so long ago that nobody finds it strange.

Now look at the rest of your week. The writing, the research, the code, the hiring. None of it works the way it did three years ago.

The room never got the memo.

The Agenda Intelligence Atlas scores published agendas on outcome design instead of applause. Of the 23,624 it read, only 57 cleared 50. Zero cleared 60.

The finding that holds across the corpus is about afterward. 21,781 agendas, 92.2 percent, show [no visible follow-up](#) of any kind. No owner, no date, nothing tracking what was decided.

That pattern does not stop at the top of the market. Across 83 agenda records published by the World Economic Forum the average is 15.2. Across 256 from CES, 19.5. SXSW averages 22.6 across 26. The best single agenda among them reached 48.

Here is why the meeting is the one part of work AI never improved, and it is duller than a conspiracy. Nobody ever specified what it was for. An agenda that says what time things start cannot be automated into something better, because it never asked for anything. So the new tools went after the one job the room was already worst at, delivering information, and left deciding, committing and following up where they were. Nowhere on the page.

The numbers show the shape. 45.6 percent of agendas put participants to work at some point. Then it falls off a cliff. Only 7.8 percent show any follow-through signal, 5.5 percent any commitment, 1.5 percent any evidence that something changed. And 2,854 agendas, one in eight, are broadcast end to end.

"Every tool around the meeting got rebuilt and the meeting itself never did," said Brandon Klein, who leads United We Transform. "It survived because nobody wrote down what the room was for. You cannot improve a specification that does not exist. If a room is worth the time and money, its agenda should say what people will do, who owns what comes next, and how anyone will know it worked."

Everything is free at unitedwetransform.com: the atlas, the [State of Gatherings 2026](#) report with every record linked to its source, the rubric, a correction process for organizers, and the dataset under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0. The [Agenda Grader](#) scores a pasted agenda in about a second, entirely in your browser, so nothing you paste is uploaded.

About the numbers. GES applies a deterministic rubric to visible agenda text across 316,643 agenda blocks. It reads the agenda, not the event. A missing public signal is not proof that a practice did not happen, and a strong gathering can publish a thin agenda. This is an open-web discovery corpus, not a probability sample. Organization figures average every record found on that organization's own domain. Human calibration against a stratified 120-agenda sample is underway, so GES is a consistent reading of public evidence rather than a validated measure of outcomes.

About United We Transform. A free, evidence-first project asking why workshops, meetings and events have barely changed while everything else about work has. Led by Brandon Klein, a facilitator and process designer with 25 years designing how groups work together.

Editor's note: Interviews, methodology files, charts, per-organization cuts and images available on request.

Brandon Klein
Open Work Lab

+1 917-349-4479

[email us here](#)

Visit us on social media:

[LinkedIn](#)

[Instagram](#)

[X](#)

This press release can be viewed online at: <https://www.einpresswire.com/article/933886447>

EIN Presswire's priority is source transparency. We do not allow opaque clients, and our editors try to be careful about weeding out false and misleading content. As a user, if you see something we have missed, please do bring it to our attention. Your help is welcome. EIN Presswire, Everyone's Internet News Presswire™, tries to define some of the boundaries that are reasonable in today's world. Please see our Editorial Guidelines for more information.

© 1995-2026 Newsmatics Inc. All Right Reserved.